

Neptun code	Selected topic	Submission	Character	Mark	Comment
1	O01NNO GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 134	1	The essay is full with irrelevant information and copy + paste text without any source signs, especially the review of the Sheraton Hotel. The analysis of the Clark Hotel is totally missing.
2	I5YOQQ UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	7 500	4	This building is a good choice. The essay reviews very detailed the project. But there are some sentences which are copied from publications, the source are not signed. Finally the last part of the essay is interesting, but somehow this topic is too far from the architecture.
3	WSWA7J				No submission.
4	MGET5H GALL / Hotel	29.05.2019.	7 856	5	Very detailed and precise analysis of the hotel. The essay reflects a lot of way to the lecture of the architect. The own opinions, the self made photos and deep research are huge pluses.
5	GWK07D GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 645	3	Interesting comparison with some theoretical opinions. On the other hand, the comparison does not fit to this topic. It is too big, too modern, there is missing that architectural thinking method, which characterize the Clark Hotel. Neither of the similarities, neither of the differences are being highlighted.
6	PCHXCU A+ / Visegrád *2018	27.05.2019.	6 798	2	The essay reviews the rehabilitation project. A deeper analysis and own opinions are missing henceforward, as the text is too short.
7	SIB2MA LENZSER / Saloon	30.05.2019	7 500	4	The beginning of the essay contains a lot of irrelevant information or it is simply too long. But after a while the text became more and more architectural. The analysis of the building is detailed, the comparison is interesting. All the source of the pictures are missing.
8	D0OY4P GALL / Hotel	29.05.2019.	8 859	4	Deep analysis about the hotel, especially about the interior design. The hotel building and the interior design are planned by two different studios, thatwhy there is the contradiction between them. The original task was to analyse the architectural part of the hotel according to the lecture of Antony Gall.
9	ALHKHD ARKT / Biennale *2018	30.50.2019	12 505	4	It is a complex essay about the Arkt project. The best part is the comparison, when we could see some similar projects. On the other hand there were too much projects, may be it would be better to chose only one, which fits the best.
10	FPKEUA UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	6 167	1	Interesting and perfectly fitted comparison with sensitive opinion. On the other hand, the essay is too short, any deeper analysis of the museums are missing. That's a pity.
11	XZH48X CSOMAS / Tanpo *2018	30.50.2019	6 630	1	There are a lot of problems with this essay. The first one, it is too short, does not accomplish the requirement of minimum 7.500 characters. The second, the topic was changed without any consultation or permission. But most critical one is the text itself, it is not an architectural essay, it is full with total irrelevant parts, there are only a few sentence about the building.
12	XWKS7L GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	8 348	2	Although the essay reviews the hotel deeply, it concentrates on to architectural totally irrelevant points, like person of the investor. On the other hand does not concentrate on important topics, which were mentioned on the lecture. Plus there are some parts which are copied with out any source signs.
13	G4PCML GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 019	5	Deep analysis of the building, especially about the façade and the connection with city. It contains a lot of own architectural opinions and relevant critiques.
14	E719JE				No submission.
15	VYQKMP UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	8 211	5	Very sensitive and precious analysis of the house. I especially like your own comments, and your thinking method, plus why You have chosen this topic. Next time it would be great if You take some interesting example from your country.
16	XFQ4Z GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 819	1	The essay does not tell any deeper analysis or own opinion about the Clark Hotel. The comparison does not fit at all (Çırağan Palace is a historical building, the Clark Hotel is a contemporary one, only similarity is their function). The essay does not reflect to the lecture of Antony Gall at all.
17	UCCQ4J GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 313	1	This essay is a mess. It is full with total irrelevant information, there is not any word about architecture, the comparison does not fit at all. The sources of the information and the picture are missing.
18	GY23W0 LENZSER / Saloon	30.05.2019	7 337	5	Very sensitive writing, which analyses the building through the details. It is full with clear opinions and architectural visions. Finally the self made photos made the concept of the essay more understandable.
19	RMF7W7 UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	10 879	5	Very interesting and analytical essay. It is a huge plus to visited the building personally, made self made photos. In this case the comparison, the habit of the architects, the connection between the nation and the museums are much more important than the building physically itself. Thatwhy next time You should rather concentrate on to this part of the topic. Nevertheless, good
20	F87QJO GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 406	1	This essay is full with total irrelevant information. The comparison does not fit at all. The main point of this building is how to fit it a contemporary building to a historical texture. The lecture reviewed the building very precious, there is not any words which reacted to architects presentation.
21	S8XIMM GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 992	5	Outstanding essay. Good focus, good deepness, good comparison, good self made pictures, really interesting theoretical background and architectural opinions. Great job. Congratulations.
22	QT37A6 UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	7 580	1	The whole essay is fully copied. There is not any own word. All of the sources are missing.
23	FNRO2 UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	8 452	5	Interesting comparison which highlights the material and its texture. The analysis of the Rudy House could be a little bit deeper, but on the other hand it is very sensitive. The Atiq Ahmed's house comparison fits perfectly, I would better to know more about the it, like floor plans, etc...
24	L1MQ2S				No submission.
25	ICD2FC				No submission.
26	IIOC1Y UHEREK / Poland	26.05.2019	7 136	2	The essay reviews the office building, but there are a lot of copy + paste part, where just some of the words have been changed. Deeper analysis and any own opinion are missing.
27	FC96H UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	5 670	1	The essay does not fulfill the requirements. It contains much less than 7.500 characters. The text itself contains lot of part which is copy + paste only some of the words have been changed!! All the sources of the information is missing. Plus it is unfinished.
28	MSVLKO GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 643	5	Good, interesting theoretical essay. I have to mentioned one thing: yes, both building are reflecting somehow to their historical environment, but the Hotel Clark makes it in a contemporary way, with contemporary elements, the Ritz-Carnton Hotel Moscow imitates the historical looks with historical element. It is a huge difference. A contemporary building has to deal with the time.
29	SDWENU				No submission.
30	CLSMW9 A+ / Visegrád *2018	30.05.2019	9 098	5	Nice, sensitive analysis of the whole down town rehabilitation project. Especially how the essay concentrate on to the small details.
31	G7RIN2 LENZSER / Saloon	30.05.2019	9 353	2	The essay reviews the building not enough deep, but on the other hand there are a lot of own opinion. The comparison does not fit well. Overall somehow the your personal touch and your personal knowledge are missing.
32	H1NAW2 ÉPSTUDIO / Housing	30.05.2019	8 085	3	The essay reviews the Pannónia House very detailed, but it is concentrating rather on to the technical parts, not on to the architectural one. The comparison is interesting, but does not fit very well, the Hungarian project is located in a dense urban context, it would be better to find some similar orientated project. All over our own opinion is missing from the text.
33	N64BJM GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	4 379	1	The essay does not fulfill the requirements. It contains less than 7.500 characters. The text itself contains a lot of fake information. Even the basic research process is missing.
34	THPLQT LENZSER / Saloon	30.05.2019	7 628	2	The essay reviews the selected project only very briefly. The rest of the text is too complicated, there are too much parts about irrelevant topics. I understand the comparisons, but the two projects are too much. It would be better to analyse only one of them, but more detailed.
35	LBBIQO UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	3 519	1	The essay does not fulfill the requirements. It contains much less than 7.500 characters.
36	K4MAS2 GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	6 703	2	The text does not contain any deep analysis about the building, or any information that had been mentioned on the lecture. The comparison is interesting, but does not fit. Finally, the essay is too short.
37	QVLKMR GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 625	1	The essay reviews the Clark Hotel only very briefly. Deeper analysis is missing. There are some fake and irrelevant information, according to the lecture the interior has not been designed by Antony Gall. Finally the comparison does not fit.
38	BMGK7X LENZSER / Saloon	30.05.2019	7 047	4	The essay reviews and analyses the building very detailed. On the other hand the source of the information and the pictures are not signed.
39	RRK4MG UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	7 155	1	The essay does not contain any deeper architectural analysis. It is just a list of few relevant and a lot of irrelevant information about two office project. The information have just been put next to each other without any structure or concept. Finally, there is missing a conclusion from the end of the essay.
40	BL70A9 ÉPSTUDIO / Housing	30.05.2019	9 632	4	Complicated topic, nice essay. The analysis is very deep and precious. But your own opinion about the project is really missing. (some of the architectural elements are coming from the local regulation, as we heard on the site visit, like the massing and the ground floors façade's stepping back).
41	JO49DF GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 459	5	Very precious and detailed analysis of the hotel, which concentrate on to the façade especially. There are a lot of relevant critics and architectural opinions. Plus, the comparison is also very interesting and fits absolutely. Good job.
42	PZ58FP UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	8 671	1	This is a very honest essay. All of the sources are signed. But the main goal of this subject and this essay is to analyse the selected project with your own words, through your own viewpoint and opinions. Thatwhy this essay does not accomplishes the requirements (quotation can be maximum 20%).
43	EXODH9 UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	9 196	1	The essay does not contain any architectural analysis or own opinion about the building. It is full with total irrelevant information, especially the text part.
44	X84XG1 GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 328	2	Interesting comparison, which concentrate on to the differences. Although the comparison has a good concept, there are a lot of information, research and analysis are missing. Plus there are a lot of total irrelevant parts (interior design). It would be better to concentrate just on the contrast of the building and urban texture.
45	HS1EPU ÉPSTUDIO / Housing	30.05.2019	7 635	1	Your topic was to analyse that building what we had visited during the semester. It was the PANNONAI HOUSE building not the other one. Please next semester visit the lectures and read the topic review very carefully!!
46	TV8H10				No submission.
47	W26AF6				No submission.
48	MCLXT8 GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	3 136	1	The essay does not fulfill the requirements. It contains less than 7.500 characters.
49	DN90HN				No submission.
50	KC2N8K UHEREK / Poland	30.05.2019	7 880	1	The whole essay is fully copied WORD BY WORD from a sources, which has even not been listed on the end of the text.
51	C7EDQZ LENZSER / Saloon	30.05.2019	7 338	5	Sensitive and deep analysis of the building, full with personal impressions and opinions. The self made photos and the concentration on the details make the essay outstanding.
52	ETKB23 ÉPSTUDIO / Housing	30.05.2019	7 266	3	Little bit problematic essay. On one hand there is a detailed analysis with some own opinion, which is great. On the other hand the essay had no clear concept, it is a list of different parts, the architectural analysis is mixed with constructional mistakes, plus there are some fake information, like the name of the architects.
53	JILYWG GALL / Hotel	30.05.2019	7 594	3	This essay is strange. In that way, it contains really good analytical parts (layout, facade), on the other hand there are some total irrelevant parts (don motives). Plus the comparison is too short, from this amount of text it is impossible to decided it, does that hotel fit or not.
54	A05S3S LENZSER / Saloon	07.06.2019	9 072	3	The essay is starting with a very interesting and theoretic part. After comes the analysis of the building. The problem is the balance between the two, the theoretical opening is too long and does not fit to this situation perfectly. The analysis of the building otherwise is too short, not enough detailed, there are missing a lot of information which had mentioned during the site visit.
55	FAFYM2 UHEREK / Poland	23.05.2019	7 795	5	Nice summary of the Silesian contemporary architecture. There was good to read about these well-selected studios, projects with the inner information. I especially like your own opinions.
56	DAS66H UHEREK / Poland	23.05.2019	6 719	3	Good choice and very well fitted comparison. Although the review and comparison of the buildings are quite short. That's a pity, because the basic concept of the essay is really good.
57	NMWOVY GALL / Hotel	23.05.2019	9 127	5	Very detailed review of the whole project, with deep research process and perfect source handling. The comparison does not fit perfectly. I understand the same location, but I guess, there are more differences, than similarities. In the end of the essay the critical review and the conclusion is clear.
58	PW845A GALL / Hotel	23.05.2019	7 599	1	The task of this submission was to write a deep analysis from the Hotel Clark building!! This text is not an essay, almost there is no word about ARCHITECTURE. An architectural critic can not be written without any research, a university essay can not be written from the booking.com...
59	EALLUG UHEREK / Poland	19.05.2019	8 136	5	Nice analysis, good comparison. To choose the red house it was an interesting choice, especially in the relation with the house 712.
60	XY555S UHEREK / Poland	23.05.2019	9 900	4	Although the essay review the museum very detailed and precious. A deeper analysis and your own opinion are missing. The text is based on too much on the sources.
61	KVVC1L GALL / Hotel	23.05.2019	8 508	5	The comparison does not fit perfectly. But the analysis of the Hotel Clark is very good, especially about the façade. At least, it is a well-structured essay with a little bit too much chapter.
62	DDE71X GALL / Hotel	23.05.2019	10 088	5	Very complex essay, which is concentrating on the point of the building, on to the façade and on to the relation to the city. The integrated comparison fits perfectly. In the end, the theoretical summary about Budapest and the contemporary Hungarian architecture is quite interesting.
63	PR948O UHEREK / Poland	23.05.2019	9 485	5	Interesting theoretical approaching. It is very good how to concentrate the essay on to one topic (façade). Interesting theoretical thinking method and nice design.
64	IBBHZN UHEREK / Poland	23.05.2019	7 611	5	Really interesting summary of the contemporary polish architecture with well-selected examples. The basic situation is similar to ours, but we are struggling deeper problems.
65	COSVQ6 GALL / Hotel	22.05.2019	8 683	3	The essay reviewed the building quite well. But a deeper analysis, critic, own opinion or any conclusion are missing. It would be better to make more self made photos.
66	MJL2JK GALL / Hotel	22.05.2019	39 767	5	Too long, too complicated, but on the other hand a very well structured essay with good analysis, good comparison, especially the Max Dudler building. Finally the conclusion part and the self-made photos are the best.
67	RG4KHE LENZSER / Saloon	22.05.2019	9 510	4	The essay is more a review, than a deep analysis. There are missing some theoretical establishment. The self-made photos and the comparison is good.
68	BFEYNS				No submission.
69	JCOXSQ GALL / Hotel	23.05.2019	6 819	3	The first part of the analysis (exterior) is very interesting. Afterwhile the analysis became too detailed (interior), there is too much about colours, materials, etc... The site visit and the self-made pictures are great. But overall the essay is too short, and a deeper conclusion is missing.
70	FZPIPE GALL / Hotel	23.05.2019	9 926	4	Well researched essay. In the first part there are too much review, less own opinion. The comparison is almost fine, the situation fits perfectly, but the architectural answers are quite different. The conclusion part is very good.
71	FZOOM9 LENZSER / Saloon	23.05.2019	29 461	4	Little bit too long, little bit too complexed essay. The comparison is very interesting, but the main goal of the Hegyvidék Cultural Saloon design process was the sustainability. This building is just fulfill the standard requirements. On the other hand the Brussel Environment Cultural center, yes, it is a product of a sustainable design process. From this viewpoint there are more differences than similarities.

537 688 3.08

Topics:

GALL / Hotel	Analyse architect ANTONY GALL's HOTEL CLARK building in Budapest + Compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).
UHEREK / Poland	Analyse one of the building that BARBARA UHEREK have reviewed + Compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).
LENZSER / Saloon	Analyse architect PETER LENZSER's HEGYVIDEK CULTURAL SALOON building in Budapest + Compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).
ÉPSTUDIO / Housing	Analyse architects ÉPÍTÉSZ SRÜDŐ's PANNONAI HOUSE building in Budapest + Compare it with a similar project from Your country (comparison is optional).

Those students, who's essay have gotten 1 mark, they get signature for this semester. Next year they could write the same topic again. They will not have to come to the lectures, just submit a new essay with the same topic, that complies the requirements.