The essay reviews the kindergarten quite well. But the text has fallen apart because of the structure. The optional comparison is a bit missing.

The essay is full with copied + pasted parts. Only a few words have been changed.

The beginning of the essay is very interesting, but later this topic is not deeply analyzed. The essay contains too much... part. Although the comparison is interesting, but the review of the buildings is not deeply worked out.

Very interesting and theoretical writing about relation of traditional and modern architecture. Perfectly matched comparison. Overall it was good to read about Iranian architecture.

Interesting examples, quotations and thoughts. But somehow the number of examples are too many, the contexture of the essay is fallen apart or not clearly understandable. It would be better to choose less, but more fitted examples.

The first part of the essay is good, with interesting thoughts, but comparison is not the best, and the number of character is too low. (Zsolt Cosmary and Tamás Németh are two individual architects, they are not an office).
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Interesting writing about rural life and architecture. The own ideas and thoughts are very good. But analysis of the social background is little bit too much, analysis of architecture is little bit too less.

The review of the park and building are more or less OK. The comparisons are fine. About the second submission it is only 2.

The review of the building and some of the own thought is OK. But the first part (workshop of the office) is too long, the analysis of the building is too short. It would be good to read some (optional) comparison.

The analysis of both workshop house is well done also. Only one observation: St. George Church’s space is rather limitless, than came from the future.

The essay is full with copied + pasted parts. Only a few words have been changed.

The essay contains more copied part as it is signed. The ratio of quotation is over 20%. Because of the powerpoint styled essay the writing is fallen apart.
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Topics:

MARP / Szathmáry
Review briefly the MARP architects and their works, analyse Szatmáry Palace project and compare it with a similar project from Your country.

MARP / Sásd
Review briefly the MARP architects and their works, analyse Community Center in Sásd project and compare it with a similar project from Your country.

SÁGI / Time Box
Analyse Gergely Sági's university project the Time box pavilions in Mihácsa/Călugăreni and compare it with a similar project from Your country.

ARCHIKON / Kindergarten
Review briefly the ARCHIKON architects and their works, analyse Meseléki/Wondergarden Kindergarten project and compare it with a similar project from Your country.

MOME / Workshop
Analyse Zsófia Csomay and Tamás Németh's project the MOME Workshop house / MOME Studio house and compare it with a similar project from Your country.

GYŐRFFY / Church
Analyse Zoltán Győrffy's project the St. George Church / Szent György Templom in Debrecen and compare it with a similar project from Your country.

GRAPHISOFT / IBS
Review briefly the Graphisoft park, analyse the IBS Residence Hall in detail and compare it with a similar project from Your country. (topic from 2016)

David Szabó / assistant lecturer